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INTRODUCTION AND MAIN THEORETICAL RESULTS

## Introduction

Consider the following non-local parabolic equation

## Fractional heat equation

$$
\begin{cases}z_{t}+\left(-d_{x}^{2}\right)^{s} z=g 1_{\omega}, & (x, t) \in(-1,1) \times(0, T)  \tag{H}\\ z=0, & (x, t) \in(-1,1)^{c} \times(0, T) \\ z(x, 0)=z_{0}(x), & x \in(-1,1)\end{cases}
$$

- $\omega \subset(-1,1)$.
- $\left(-d_{x}^{2}\right)^{s}$ : fractional Laplacian.

We are interested in analyzing controllability properties, both from a theoretical and a numerical viewpoint.

## Fractional Laplacian

For any function $u$ sufficiently regular and for any $s \in(0,1)$, the s-th power of the Laplace operator is given by

$$
\left(-d_{x}^{2}\right)^{s} u(x)=c_{s} P . V . \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^{1+2 s}} d y .
$$

$$
c_{s}=\frac{s 2^{2 s} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+2 s}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(1-s)}
$$

normalization constant ensuring
that $\lim _{s \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(-d_{x}^{2}\right)^{s}=-d_{x}^{2}$.

NULL CONTROLLABILITY at time $T>0$ : given any initial datum $z_{0} \in L^{2}(-1,1)$ there exists $g \in L^{2}(\omega \times(0, T))$ such that the corresponding solution $z$ satisfies $z(x, T)=0$.

APPROXIMATE CONTROLLABILITY at time $T>0$ : given any $z_{0}, z_{T} \in L^{2}(-1,1)$ and any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $g \in L^{2}(\omega \times(0, T))$ such that the corresponding solution $z$ with initial datum $z(x, 0)=z_{0}$ satisfies $\left\|z(x, T)-z_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}(-1,1)} \leq \varepsilon$.

## Theorem (U.B. and V. Hernández-Santamaría, IMA J. Math. Control Inf, 2018)

The fractional heat equation $(\mathcal{H})$ is

- null-controllable at time $T>0$ if and only if $s>1 / 2$.
- approximately controllable at time $T>0$ for all $s \in(0,1)$.


## Existence uniqueness and regularity of solutions

## Definition

We say that $z \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{s}(-1,1)\right) \cap C\left([0, T], L^{2}(-1,1)\right)$ with $z_{t} \in$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-s}(-1,1)\right)$ is a weak solution for the parabolic problem $(\mathcal{H})$ with $g \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-s}(-1,1)\right)$ and $z_{0} \in L^{2}(-1,1)$ if it satisfies

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{-1}^{1} z_{t} w d x d t+\int_{0}^{T} a(z, w) d t=\int_{0}^{T}\langle f, w\rangle_{-s, s} d t
$$

for any $w \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{s}(-1,1)\right)$.
The bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot): H_{0}^{s}(-1,1) \times H_{0}^{s}(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$
a(u, v)=\frac{c_{1, s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))}{|x-y|^{1+2 s}} d x d y
$$

Theorem (T. Leonori, I. Peral, A. Primo, and F. Soria, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 2015.)
Assume $f \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-s}(-1,1)\right)$. Then for any $z_{0} \in L^{2}(-1,1)$, problem ( $\mathcal{H}$ ) has a unique weak solution.

Theorem (U.B., M. Warma, and E. Zuazua, SEMA SIMAI Springer Series, 2018.)
Assume $f \in L^{2}((-1,1) \times(0, T)), z_{0}=0$, and let $z \in L^{2}\left((0, T) ; H_{0}^{s}(-1,1)\right) \cap$ $C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(-1,1)\right)$ with $z_{t} \in L^{2}\left((0, T) ; H^{-s}(-1,1)\right)$ be the unique weak solution of system $(\mathcal{H})$. Then

$$
z \in L^{2}\left((0, T) ; H_{l o c}^{2 s}(-1,1)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; H_{0}^{s}(-1,1)\right)
$$

and

$$
z_{t} \in L^{2}((-1,1) \times(0, T)) .
$$

## Known controllability results

- S. Micu and E. Zuazua, 2006: in the 1-d setting the authors consider spectral fractional Laplacian which is defined by

$$
\left(-d_{x}^{2}\right)_{s}^{s} u(x)=\sum_{k \geq 1}\left\langle u, \psi_{k}\right\rangle \lambda_{k}^{s} \psi_{k}(x),
$$

They prove that for $s>1 / 2$ null controllability holds. But

$$
\text { spectral } \neq \text { integral }^{1}
$$

- L. Miller, 2006: the same result holds in multi-d setting using Lebeau-Robbiano strategy.
${ }^{1}$ R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A, 2014


## Proof of the null controllability (sketch)

By employing the classical moment method ${ }^{1}$, the equation is null-controllable if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_{k}^{-1}<+\infty . \\
& \text { - } \lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k} \geq \gamma>0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Eigenvalues of the fractional Laplacian on $(-1,1)$ with Dirichlet B.C. ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\begin{cases}\left(-d_{x}^{2}\right)^{s} \varrho_{k}=\lambda_{k} \varrho_{k}, & x \in(-1,1), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \\
\varrho_{k}=0, & x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash(-1,1),\end{cases} \\
\lambda_{k}=\left(\frac{k \pi}{2}-\frac{(1-s) \pi}{4}\right)^{2 s}+O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, the two conditions above are both satisfied if and only if $s>1 / 2$.
${ }^{1}$ Fattorini and Russell, Quart. Appl. Math., 1974. ${ }^{2}$ Kwaśnicki, J. Funct. Anal., 2012.

## Proof of the approximate controllability (sketch)

The result follows from the following property.

## Parabolic unique continuation

Given $s \in(0,1)$ and $\varphi_{0}^{T} \in L^{2}(-1,1)$, let $\varphi$ be the unique solution to the adjoint equation. Let $\omega \subset(-1,1)$ be an arbitrary open set. If $\varphi=0$ on $\omega \times(0, T)$, then $\varphi=0$ on $(-1,1) \times(0, T)$.

This, in turn, is a consequence of the unique continuation property for the Fractional Laplacian. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ M.M. Fall and V. Felli, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 2014..

## Remarks

- The elliptic unique continuation property for the fractional Laplacian holds in any space dimension. In view of that, the approximate controllability for $(\mathcal{H})$ may be obtained also to the case $N>1$. The same does not applies to the null-controllability, since our proof uses arguments that are designed specifically for one-dimensional problems.
- If one would like to analyze the multi-dimensional problem, other tools (Carleman estimates) are needed. These techniques are not available for problems involving the fractional Laplacian on a domain.

Numerical implementation

## Penalized Hilbert Uniqueness Method

We have to solve the following minimization problem:
$\min _{\varphi^{T} \in L^{2}(-1,1)} J_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi^{T}\right):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega \times(0, T)}|\varphi|^{2} d x d t+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left\|\varphi^{T}\right\|_{L^{2}(-1,1)}^{2}+\int_{-1}^{1} z_{0} \varphi(0)$
where $\varphi$ is the solution to the adjoint problem.

$$
\begin{cases}-\varphi_{t}+\left(-d_{x}^{2}\right)^{s} \varphi=0, & (x, t) \in(-1,1) \times(0, T) \\ \varphi=0, & (x, t) \in(-1,1)^{c} \times(0, T) \\ \varphi(\cdot, T)=\varphi^{T}(x), & x \in(-1,1)\end{cases}
$$

$J_{\varepsilon}$ is continuous, coercive and strictly convex , thus the existence and uniqueness of a minimizer $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{T}$ is guaranteed.
In fact, the control is chosen as

$$
v=\left.\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|_{\omega}
$$

where $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ is the solution to the adjoint with initial datum $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{T}$.

## Penalized Hilbert Uniqueness Method (cont.)

Analyzing the behavior of the penalized problem with respect to the parameter $\varepsilon$, we can deduce controllability properties for our system.

## Theorem (F. Boyer, ESAIM Proc., 2013)

Let $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{T}$ be the unique minimizer of $J_{\varepsilon}$. System $(\mathcal{H})$ is

- null controllable at time $T \Leftrightarrow M_{z_{0}}^{2}:=2 \sup _{\varepsilon>0}\left(\inf J_{\varepsilon}\right)<+\infty$. In this case:

$$
\|g\|_{L^{2}(\omega \times(0, T))} \leq M_{z_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{T}\right\| \leq M_{z_{0}} \sqrt{\varepsilon}
$$

- approximately controllable at time $T \Leftrightarrow \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{T} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE NUMERICAL SCHEME

## Numerical implementation

For $M>0$ and $\Delta t=T / M$, we write the fully-discrete system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z^{0}=z_{0}(x) \\
\mathcal{M}_{h} \frac{z^{n+1}-z^{n}}{\Delta t}+\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s} z^{n+1}=\chi_{\omega} v_{h}^{n+1}, \quad \forall n \in\{0, \ldots, M-1\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{h}$ are suitable approximation matrices.
We consider also the discrete version of the penalized HUM functional

$$
J_{\varepsilon, n, \Delta t}\left(\varphi^{T}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{M} \Delta t \int_{\omega}\left|\varphi^{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|\varphi^{T}\right|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left(y_{0}, \varphi^{1}\right)_{L^{2}}
$$

where $\varphi=\left(\varphi^{n}\right)_{1 \leq n \leq M+1}$ solution to the adjoint system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi^{M+1}=\varphi^{T} \\
\mathcal{M}_{h} \frac{\varphi^{n}-\varphi^{n+1}}{\Delta t}+\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s} \varphi^{n}=0, \quad \forall n \in\{1, \ldots, M\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Finite element approximation of the elliptic problem

## Partition of $(-1,1)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
-1=x_{0}<x_{1}<\ldots<x_{i}<x_{i+1}<\ldots<x_{N+1}=1 \\
x_{i+1}=x_{i}+h, i=0, \ldots N
\end{gathered}
$$

- $\mathbf{M}:=\left\{x_{i}: i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$.
- $\partial \mathbf{M}:=\left\{x_{0}, x_{N+1}\right\}$.
- $K_{i}:=\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$.


Consider the discrete space

$$
V_{h}:=\left\{v \in H_{0}^{s}(-1,1)|v|_{K_{i}} \in \mathcal{P}^{1}\right\},
$$

where $\mathcal{P}^{1}$ is the space of the continuous and piece-wise linear functions.
Given $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ any basis of $V_{h}$, through a classical FE approach we are reduced to solve the linear system $\mathcal{A}_{n} u=F$

- $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ : stiffness matrix with components

$$
a_{i, j}=\frac{c_{1, s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left(\phi_{i}(x)-\phi_{i}(y)\right)\left(\phi_{j}(x)-\phi_{j}(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{1+2 s}} d x d y
$$

- $F \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ given by $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{N}\right)$ with

$$
F_{i}=\left\langle f, \phi_{i}\right\rangle=\int_{-1}^{1} f_{i} d x, \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

## Basis functions

We employ the classical basis $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ in which each $\phi_{i}$ is the tent function with $\operatorname{supp}\left(\phi_{i}\right)=\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}\right)$ and verifying $\phi_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta_{i, j}$.

$$
\phi_{i}(x)=1-\frac{\left|x-x_{i}\right|}{h}
$$



## Construction of the stiffness matrix

Some remarks:

- $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s}$ is symmetric. Therefore, in our algorithm we will only need to compute the values $a_{i, j}$ with $j \geq i$.
- Due to the non-local nature of the problem, the matrix $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s}$ is full.
- The basis functions satisfy the zero Dirichlet B.C. This is important in the case $s>1 / 2$.



## Construction of $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{S}$ (cont.)

$-j \geq i+2$ : the easiest case, since $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\phi_{j}\right)=\emptyset$. Hence, the problem is reduced to compute

$$
a_{i, j}=-2 \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j+1}} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} \frac{\phi_{i}(x) \phi_{j}(y)}{|x-y|^{1+2 s}} d x d y .
$$

The contributions to the stiffness matrix in this case are

$$
\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& & a_{1,3} & \cdots & \cdots \\
& & & a_{24} & \cdots \\
a_{1, N} \\
& & & & a_{2, N} \\
\vdots & a_{42} & & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \\
a_{N, 1} & a_{N, 2} & \cdots & a_{N, N-2} & \\
a_{N-2, N} \\
& & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Construction of $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{S}$ (cont.)

- $j=i+1$ : the most cumbersome case.

$$
a_{i, i+1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left(\phi_{i}(x)-\phi_{i}(y)\right)\left(\phi_{i+1}(x)-\phi_{i+1}(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{1+2 s}} d x d y:=\sum_{k=1}^{6} Q_{k}
$$



## Construction of $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{S}$ (cont.)

- $i=j$ : we fill the diagonal of the matrix $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s}$, which collects the values corresponding to the case $\phi_{i}(x)=\phi_{j}(x)$. We have

$$
a_{i, i}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left(\phi_{i}(x)-\phi_{i}(y)\right)^{2}}{|x-y|^{1+2 s}} d x d y:=\sum_{k=1}^{7} R_{k} .
$$



## Entries of the stiffness matrix $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s \in(0,1), s \neq 1 / 2 \\
& a_{i, j}=-h^{1-2 s} \begin{cases}\frac{4(k+1)^{3-2 s}+4(k-1)^{3-2 s}}{2 s(1-2 s)(1-s)(3-2 s)} \\
-\frac{6 k^{3-2 s}+(k+2)^{3-2 s}+(k-2)^{3-2 s}}{2 s(1-2 s)(1-s)(3-2 s)}, & k=j-i, k \geq 2 \\
\frac{3^{3-2 s}-2^{5-2 s}+7}{2 s(1-2 s)(1-s)(3-2 s)}, & j=i+1 \\
\frac{2^{3-2 s}-4}{s(1-2 s)(1-s)(3-2 s)}, & j=i .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Entries of the stiffness matrix $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s}$

$$
s=1 / 2
$$

$$
a_{i, j}= \begin{cases}-4(k+1)^{2} \log (k+1)-4(k-1)^{2} \log (k-1) & \\ +6 k^{2} \log (k)+(k+2)^{2} \log (k+2) & k=j-i, k>2 \\ +(k-2)^{2} \log (k-2), & j=i+2 . \\ 56 \ln (2)-36 \ln (3), & j=i+1 \\ 9 \ln 3-16 \ln 2, & j=i .\end{cases}
$$

## Some final remarks on the approximation $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s}$

## Some remarks

- Each entry $a_{i, j}$ of the matrix only depend on $i, j, s$ and $h$.
- The matrix $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s}$ has the structure of a $N$-diagonal matrix. This is analogous to the tridiagonal matrix approximating the classical Laplace operator

$$
\mathcal{A}_{h}=\frac{1}{h}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & -1 & & & \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
& & & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{h}$ as $s \rightarrow 1$, which is in accordance to the behavior of the continuous operator. ${ }^{1}$

[^0]Numerical results

In order to test numerically the accuracy of our method, we use the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}\left(-d_{x}^{2}\right)^{s} u=1, & x \in(-1,1) \\ u \equiv 0, & x \in(-1,1)^{c} .\end{cases}
$$

In this particular case, the solution can be computed exactly and it reads as follows,

## Solution

$$
u(x)=\frac{2^{-2 s} \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+2 s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1+s)}\left(1^{2}-x^{2}\right)^{s} \chi_{(-1,1)}
$$

## Comparison for different values of $s$






## Convergence of the error

Theorem (G. Acosta and J. P. Borthagaray, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 2017)
For $h$ sufficiently small and $f$ regular enough, the following estimate holds

$$
\left\|u-u_{n}\right\|_{H_{0}^{s}(-1,1)} \lesssim C h^{1 / 2} \quad \text { for } s \in(0,1)
$$



## Control experiments: practical considerations

$$
\left.J_{\varepsilon, n, \Delta t}\left(\varphi^{T}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{M} \Delta t \int_{\omega}\left|\varphi^{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\rvert\, \varphi^{T} L_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left(y_{0}, \varphi^{1}\right)_{L^{2}}
$$

- We use conjugate gradient (CG).
- Choose the penalization parameter $\varepsilon=\phi(h)$
- Practical rule: choose $\varepsilon \sim h^{2 p}$ where $p$ is the order of accuracy in space of the discretization method.


## Controlled solution

- $T=0.3 s, \quad \bullet s=0.8, \quad \bullet \omega=(-0.4,0.8)$.



## Behavior of the penalized HUM




- Cost of control and opt. energy are bounded as $h \rightarrow 0$.
- $|y(T)|_{L^{2}} \sim \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ null controllable.
- Cost of control and opt. energy are not bounded as $h \rightarrow 0$.
- $|y(T)|_{L^{2}} \sim C h^{0.15}$
approximately controllable.

Final REMARK

## Convergence of $u_{s}$ to $u$

- $(-\Delta)^{s} \underset{s \rightarrow 1}{\rightarrow}-\Delta$, (Di Nezza et al., '12) - $\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{h \rightarrow 1}$.

Theorem (U. B. and V. Hernández-Santamaría., Elec. J. Diff. Eq., 2018)
Given a u.b. sequence of $f_{s} \in H^{-s}(\Omega), u_{s} \rightarrow u$ in $H_{0}^{1-\delta}(\Omega)$ strongly for all $0<\delta \leq 1$. Moreover, $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and satisfies

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x=\int_{-1}^{1} f v d x, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci, Bull. Sci. Math. 2012

