# CONTROLLABILITY OF THE 1D FRACTIONAL HEAT EQUATION UNDER POSITIVITY CONSTRAINTS

### Umberto Biccari

umberto.biccari@deusto.es u.biccari@gmail.com
cmc.deusto.es/umberto-biccari

DeustoTech, Universidad de Deusto, Bilbao, Spain VIII Partial differential equations, optimal design and numerics Centro de Ciencias de Benasque Pedro Pascual, August 18<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> 2019

#### Joint work with Mahamadi Warma and Enrique Zuazua



# 1-d fractional heat equation

$$\begin{cases} z_t + (-d_x^2)^s z = u\chi_\omega & (x,t) \in (-1,1) \times (0,T) \\ z \equiv 0 & (x,t) \in (-1,1)^c \times (0,T), \\ z(\cdot,0) = z_0 & x \in (-1,1). \end{cases}$$
(FH)

• 
$$\omega \subset (-1, 1)$$
 •  $z_0 \in L^2(-1, 1)$ .

We are interested in analyzing controllability properties under positivity state/control constraints.

### Fractional Laplacian

$$(-d_x^2)^s z = C_s \,\mathsf{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{z(x) - z(y)}{|x - y|^{1 + 2s}} \, dy.$$

$$\mathcal{C}_s = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} rac{1-\cos(\zeta_1)}{|\zeta|^{1+2s}}\,d\zeta
ight)^{-1} = rac{s2^{2s}\Gamma\left(rac{1+2s}{2}
ight)}{\pi^rac{1}{2}\Gamma(1-s)}.$$

#### Fractional Sobolev space

$$H^{s}(-1,1) := \left\{ z \in L^{2}(-1,1) : \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{|z(x) - z(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{1 + 2s}} dx dy < \infty \right\}$$

• Intermediate Hilbert space between  $L^2(-1, 1)$  and  $H^1(-1, 1)$ .

#### Scalar product

$$\langle z, w \rangle_{H^s(-1,1)} := \int_{-1}^1 zw \, dx + \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(z(x) - z(y))(w(x) - w(y))}{|x - y|^{1 + 2s}} \, dx dy$$

#### Fractional Sobolev norm

$$||z||_{H^{s}(-1,1)} := \left(\int_{-1}^{1} |z|^{2} dx + \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{|z(x) - z(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{1 + 2s}} dx dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Theorem (B. and Hernández-Santamaría, IMA J. Math. Control Inf., 2018)

There exists  $u \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$  such that the fractional heat equation  $(\mathcal{FH})$  is

- *null-controllable* at time T > 0 if and only if s > 1/2.
- approximately controllable at time T > 0 for all  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

**Remark**: the equation being linear, by translation if s > 1/2 we have controllability to trajectories  $\hat{z}$ .

### Proof

Null controllability: through the moment method, based on the following behavior of the spectrum.

Eigenvalues (Kwaśnicki, J. Funct. Anal., 2012)

$$\lambda_k = \left(\frac{k\pi}{2} - \frac{(1-s)\pi}{4}\right)^{2s} + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right).$$

Approximate controllability: The result follows from the following property.

#### Parabolic unique continuation

Given  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $p^T \in L^2(-1, 1)$ , let p be the unique solution to the adjoint equation. Let  $\omega \subset (-1, 1)$  be an arbitrary open set. If p = 0 on  $\omega \times (0, T)$ , then p = 0 on  $(-1, 1) \times (0, T)$ .

This, in turn, is a consequence of the **unique continuation** property for the Fractional Laplacian.

Fall and Felli, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 2014.

 The fractional heat equation preserves positivity: if z<sub>0</sub> is a given non-negative initial datum in L<sup>2</sup>(-1, 1) and u is a non-negative function, then so it is for the solution z of (FH).

B., Warma and Zuazua, 2019

#### Question

Can we control the fractional heat dynamics ( $\mathcal{FH}$ ) from any initial datum  $z_0 \in L^2(-1, 1)$  to any positive trajectory  $\hat{z}$ , under positivity constraints on the control and/or the state?

#### Theorem (B., Warma and Zuazua, 2019)

Let s > 1/2,  $z_0 \in L^2(-1, 1)$  and let  $\hat{z}$  be a positive trajectory, i.e., a solution of  $(\mathcal{FH})$  with initial datum  $0 < \hat{z}_0 \in L^2(-1, 1)$  and right hand side  $\hat{u} \in L^{\infty}(\omega \times (0, T))$ . Assume that there exists  $\nu > 0$  such that  $\hat{u} \ge \nu$  a.e in  $\omega \times (0, T)$ . Then, the following assertions hold.

- 1. There exist T > 0 and a non-negative control  $u \in L^{\infty}(\omega \times (0, T))$  such that the corresponding solution z of  $(\mathcal{FH})$  satisfies  $z(x, T) = \widehat{z}(x, T)$  a.e. in (-1, 1). Moreover, if  $z_0 \ge 0$ , we also have  $z(x, t) \ge 0$  for every  $(x, t) \in (-1, 1) \times (0, T)$ .
- 2. Define the minimal controllability time by

$$T_{min}(z_0, \widehat{z}) := \inf \Big\{ T > 0 : \exists \ 0 \le u \in L^{\infty}(\omega \times (0, T)) \text{ s. } t.$$
$$z(\cdot, 0) = z_0 \text{ and } z(\cdot, T) = \widehat{z}(\cdot, T) \Big\}.$$

Then,  $T_{min} > 0$ .

3. For  $T = T_{min}$ , there exists a non-negative control  $u \in \mathcal{M}(\omega \times (0, T_{min}))$ , the space of Radon measures on  $\omega \times (0, T_{min})$ , such that the corresponding solution of  $(\mathcal{FH})$  satisfies  $z(x, T) = \hat{z}(x, T)$  a.e. in (-1, 1).

The proof requires two main ingredients:

1. Controllability through  $L^{\infty}$  controls, consequence of the following observability inequality

$$\|p(\cdot,0)\|_{L^2(-1,1)}^2 \leq C\left(\int_0^T \int_\omega |p(x,t)| \, dx dt\right)^2$$

2. Dissipativity of the fractional heat semi-group.

### Some preliminary results

#### Theorem

Let  $\{\mu_k\}_{k>1}$  be a sequence of real numbers satisfying the conditions:

1. There exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\mu_{k+1} - \mu_k \ge \gamma$  for all  $k \ge 1$ .

**2.** 
$$\sum_{k\geq 1} \mu_k^{-1} < +\infty.$$

Then, for any T > 0, there is a positive constant C = C(T) > 0 such that, for any finite sequence  $\{c_k\}_{k>1}$  it holds the inequality

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} |c_k|^2 e^{-2\mu_k T} \leq C \left\| \sum_{k\geq 1} c_k e^{-\mu_k t} \right\|_{L^1(0,T)}^2$$

#### PROOF:

Under the above hypothesis on  $\{\mu_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ , the function  $F(t) := \sum_{k>1} c_k e^{-\mu_k t}$  satisfies

$$|c_k| \le C \, \|F\|_{L^1(0,T)} \,, \quad \sum_{k \ge 1} |c_k| e^{(\mu_1 - \mu_k)t} \le C(t) \, \|F\|_{L^1(0,T)} \,, \quad C(t) \text{ uniformly bounded } \forall t > 0.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} |c_k|^2 e^{-2\mu_k T} = \sum_{k\geq 1} |c_k| e^{(\mu_1 - \mu_k)t} \left( |c_k| e^{(\mu_k - \mu_1)t} e^{-2\mu_k T} \right) \le C \|F\|_{L^1(0,T)}^2.$$

#### Lemma

Consider the eigenvalue problem for the Dirichlet fractional Laplacian in (-1, 1):

$$\begin{cases} (-d_x^2)^s \phi_k = \lambda_k \phi_k, & x \in (-1,1) \\ \phi_k = 0, & x \in (-1,1)^c. \end{cases}$$

Then for any open subset  $\omega \subset (-1, 1)$ , there is a positive constant  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\|\phi_k\|_{L^1(\omega)} \ge \beta > 0$ .

#### PROOF (main idea):

The proof is based on the fact that

$$\int_{\omega} |\phi_k(x)| \, dx \ge \int_{\omega} \left| \sin\left( \mu_k(1+x) + \frac{(1-s)\pi}{4} \right) - \frac{c(1-s)}{\sqrt{s}} \mu_k^{-1-2s} \right| \, dx$$
$$\mu_k := \frac{k\pi}{2} - \frac{(1-s)\pi}{4}$$

#### Proposition

For any T > 0 and  $p_T \in L^2(-1,1)$ , let  $p \in L^2((0,T); H_0^s(-1,1)) \cap C([0,T]; L^2(-1,1))$  with  $p_t \in L^2((0,T); H^{-s}(-1,1))$  be the weak solution of the adjoint system

$$\begin{cases} -p_t + (-d_x^2)^s p = 0, & (x,t) \in (-1,1) \times (0,T) \\ p = 0, & (x,t) \in (-1,1)^c \times (0,T) \\ p(\cdot,T) = p_T(\cdot), & x \in (-1,1). \end{cases}$$

Then, for any s > 1/2, there is a constant C = C(T) > 0 such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{p}(\cdot,0)\|_{L^2(-1,1)}^2 \leq C \left(\int_0^T \int_\omega |\boldsymbol{p}(x,t)| \, dx dt\right)^2.$$

#### Theorem

For any  $z_0 \in L^2(-1, 1)$ , s > 1/2 and T > 0, there exists a control function  $u \in L^{\infty}(\omega \times (0, T))$  such that the corresponding unique weak solution z of  $(\mathcal{FH})$  with initial datum  $z(x, 0) = z_0(x)$  satisfies z(x, T) = 0 a.e. in (-1, 1). Moreover, there is a constant C > 0 (depending only on T) such that

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(\omega \times (0,T))} \leq C ||z_0||_{L^2(-1,1)}.$$

#### PROOF:

Classical duality argument.

### Proof of the main result - 1: constrained controllability

Subtracting *ẑ* in the equation, it is enough to show that there exist a time *T* > 0 and a control *v* ∈ *L*<sup>∞</sup>(*ω* × (0, *T*)), *v* > −*ν* a.e. in *ω* × (0, *T*), such that

$$\begin{cases} \xi_t + (-d_x^2)^s \xi = v_{\chi\omega}, & (x,t) \in (-1,1) \times (0,T) \\ \xi = 0, & (x,t) \in (-1,1)^c \times (0,T) \\ \xi(\cdot,0) = z_0(\cdot) - \widehat{z}_0(\cdot), & x \in (-1,1) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \quad \xi(x,T) = 0.$$

• This is equivalent to the observability inequality

$$\|\boldsymbol{p}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^2(-1,1)}^2 \leq C(T-\tau) \left(\int_{\tau}^T \int_{\omega} |\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{x},t)| \, d\boldsymbol{x} dt\right)^2.$$

 Using that the eigenvalues {λ<sub>k</sub>}<sub>k≥1</sub> form a non-decreasing sequence, and the dissipativity of the fractional heat semi-group:

$$\begin{split} \| p(\cdot, 0) \|_{L^{2}(-1, 1)}^{2} &\leq e^{-2\lambda_{1}\tau} \| p(\cdot, \tau) \|_{L^{2}(-1, 1)}^{2} \\ &\leq e^{-2\lambda_{1}\tau} C(T - \tau) \left( \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\omega} | p(x, t) | \, dx dt \right)^{2} \end{split}$$

## Proof of the main result - 1: constrained controllability

• By duality, the control v can be chosen such that

$$\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\omega \times (0,T))}^{2} \leq e^{-2\lambda_{1}\tau}C(T-\tau) \|z_{0}-\widehat{z}_{0}\|_{L^{2}(-1,1)}^{2}.$$

• Taking  $\tau = T/2$ , we obtain

$$\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\omega \times (0,T))}^{2} \leq e^{-\lambda_{1}T} C(T) \|z_{0} - \widehat{z}_{0}\|_{L^{2}(-1,1)}^{2}.$$

• The observability constant C(T) is **uniformly bounded** for any T > 0. Hence, for T large enough we have

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^{\infty}(\omega\times(0,T))}^{2}<\nu.$$

- This implies that v > −ν. Therefore, the control v > −ν steers ξ from z<sub>0</sub> − 2<sub>0</sub> to zero in time T > 0, provided T is large enough. Consequently, z is controllable to the trajectory 2 in time T.
- If  $z_0 \ge 0$ , thanks to the maximum principle, we also have  $z(x, t) \ge 0$  for every  $(x, t) \in (-1, 1) \times (0, T)$ .

### Proof of the main result - 2: positivity of $T_{min}$

Solution of (*FH*) in the basis of the eigenfunctions {*φ<sub>k</sub>*}<sub>k≥1</sub>:

$$z(x,t) = \sum_{k\geq 1} z_k(t)\phi_k(x).$$
  
$$z_k(t) = z_k^0 e^{-\lambda_k t} + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_k(t-\tau)} u_k(\tau) d\tau, \quad u_k(t) := \int_\omega u(x,t)\phi_k(x) dx.$$

•  $z(x, T) = \hat{z}(x, T)$  a.e. in (-1, 1):

$$z_k(T) = \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{z}(x,T)\phi_k(x)\,dx =: \zeta_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \zeta_k - z_k^0 e^{-\lambda_k T} = \int_0^T e^{-\lambda_k(T-\tau)}u_k(\tau)\,d\tau.$$

• For every  $0 \le \tau \le T$ :

$$\zeta_k - z_k^0 e^{-\lambda_k T} \leq \int_0^T u_k(\tau) \, d\tau \leq \zeta_k e^{\lambda_k T} - z_k^0.$$

Assume by contradiction that, for every *T* > 0, there exists a non-negative control function *u<sup>T</sup>* steering *z*<sub>0</sub> to *2*(·, *T*) in time *T*, and that *2*(·, *T*) ≠ *z*<sub>0</sub>. Then:

$$\lim_{T\to 0^+}\int_0^T u_k^T(\tau)\,d\tau=\zeta_k-z_k^0=:\gamma \quad \Longrightarrow \quad z_k^0=\zeta_k-\gamma.$$

### Proof of the main result - 2: positivity of $T_{min}$

• 
$$z_0 \in L^2(-1,1)$$
:  

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} |z_k^0|^2 = \sum_{k\geq 1} \left(\zeta_k^2 - 2\gamma\zeta_k + \gamma^2\right) < +\infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{k\to+\infty} \left(\zeta_k^2 - 2\gamma\zeta_k + \gamma^2\right) = 0.$$

• Since  $\{\phi_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  is an orthonormal complete system in  $L^2(-1, 1)$ ,  $\phi_k \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(-1, 1)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Hence:

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} (\widehat{z}(\cdot, T), \phi_k)_{L^2(-1,1)} = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{z}(x, T) \phi_k(x) \, dx$$
$$= \lim_{k \to +\infty} \zeta_k = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma = 0.$$

Consequently

$$0 = z_k^0 - \zeta_k = \int_{-1}^1 (z_0(x) - \hat{z}(x, T))\phi_k(x) \, dx, \text{ for all } k \ge 1.$$

• This is possible if and only if  $z_0(x) = \hat{z}(x, T)$  a.e. in (-1, 1), which contradicts our previous assumption.

### Proof of the main result - 3: minimal-time control

Constrained controllability of the system ( $\mathcal{FH}$ ) holds in the minimal time  $T_{min}$  with controls in the (Banach) space of the Radon measures  $\mathcal{M}(\omega \times (0, T_{min}))$  endowed with the norm

$$\begin{split} \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}(\omega\times(0,T_{\min}))} &= \sup\left\{\int_{\omega\times(0,T_{\min})}\varphi(x,t) \ d\mu(x,t): \\ \varphi &\in C(\overline{\omega}\times[0,T_{\min}],\mathbb{R}), \ \max_{\overline{\omega}\times[0,T_{\min}]}|\varphi| = 1\right\}. \end{split}$$

Solutions of ( $\mathcal{FH}$ ) with controls in  $\mathcal{M}(\omega \times (0, T_{min}))$  are defined by transposition

#### Transposition solution

Given  $z_0 \in L^2(-1, 1)$ , T > 0, and  $u \in \mathcal{M}(\omega \times (0, T))$ , the function  $z \in L^1((-1, 1) \times (0, T))$  is a solution of  $(\mathcal{FH})$  defined by transposition if

$$\int_{\omega \times (0,T)} p(x,t) du(x,t) = \langle z(\cdot,T), p_T \rangle - \int_{-1}^1 z_0(x) p(x,0) dx$$

where, for every  $p_T \in L^{\infty}(-1, 1)$ , the function  $p \in L^{\infty}(Q)$  is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} -p_t + (-q_x^2)^s p = 0, & (x,t) \in (-1,1) \times (0,T) \\ p = 0, & (x,t) \in (-1,1)^c \times (0,T) \\ p(\cdot,T) = p_T, & x \in (-1,1). \end{cases}$$

### Proof of the main result - 3: minimal-time control

• Denote  $T_k := T_{min} + \frac{1}{k}, k \ge 1$ .

There exists a sequence of non-negative controls  $\{u^{T_k}\}_{k\geq 1} \subset L^{\infty}(\omega \times (0, T_k))$  such that the corresponding solution  $z^k$  of  $(\mathcal{FH})$  with  $z^k(x, 0) = z_0(x)$  a.e. in (-1, 1) satisfies  $z^k(x, T_k) = \hat{z}(x, T_k)$  a.e. in (-1, 1).

- Extend these controls by  $\hat{u}$  on  $(T_k, T_{min} + 1)$  to get a new sequence in  $L^{\infty}(\omega \times (0, T_{min+1}))$ .
- $p_T > 0 \implies p(x,t) \ge \theta > 0$  for all  $(x,t) \in (-1,1) \times (0, T_{min} + 1)$ . Then,

$$\theta \left\| u^{T_k} \right\|_{L^1(\omega \times (0, T_{\min} + 1))} = \theta \int_0^{T_{\min} + 1} \int_{\omega} u^{T_k}(x, t) \, dx dt$$
  
$$\leq \int_0^{T_{\min} + 1} \int_{-1}^1 p(x, t) u^{T_k}(x, t) \, dx dt$$
  
$$= \langle z(\cdot, T), p_T \rangle - \int_{-1}^1 z_0(x) p(x, 0) \, dx \leq M.$$

 {u<sup>T<sub>k</sub></sup>}<sub>k≥1</sub> is bounded in L<sup>1</sup>(ω × (0, T<sub>min+1</sub>)), hence, it is bounded in the space *M*(ω × (0, T<sub>min+1</sub>)). Thus, extracting a sub-sequence, we have:

$$u^{T_k} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \widetilde{u} \quad weakly -* in \mathcal{M}(\omega \times (0, T_{min+1})) \text{ as } k \to +\infty.$$

The limit control  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies the non-negativity constraint.

# Proof of the main result - 3: minimal-time control (cont.)

• For any k large enough and  $T_{min} < T_0 < T_{min+1}$ , we have

$$\int_{\omega\times(0,T_0)} p(x,t) \ du^{T_k}(x,t) = \langle \widehat{z}(\cdot,T_0), p_T \rangle - \int_{-1}^1 z_0(x) p(x,0) \ dx.$$

•  $p_T$ : first **non-negative** eigenfunction of  $(-d_x^2)^s$ 

 $p \in C([0,T]; D((-d_x^2)^s)) \hookrightarrow C([0,T] \times [-1,1]).$ 

• By definition of *weak*<sup>\*</sup> limit, letting  $k \to +\infty$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\omega\times(0,T_0)} p(x,t) \ d\widetilde{u}(x,t) = \langle \widehat{z}(\cdot,T_0), p_T \rangle - \int_{-1}^1 z_0(x) p(x,0) \ dx,$$

which implies that  $z(x, T_0) = \hat{z}(x, T_0)$  a.e. in (-1, 1).

• Taking the limit as  $T_0 \rightarrow T_{min}$  and using the fact that

 $|\widetilde{u}|(\omega \times (T_{min}, T_0)) = |\hat{u}|(\omega \times (T_{min}, T_0)) = 0, \text{ as } T_0 \to T_{min}$ we deduce that  $z(x, T_{min}) = \widehat{z}(x, T_{min})$  a.e. in (-1, 1).

# Numerical simulations

- We consider the problem of steering the initial datum  $z_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}x)$  to the target trajectory  $\hat{z}$  solution of  $\mathcal{FH}$  with initial datum  $\hat{z}_0(x) = 6 \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}x)$  and right-hand side  $\hat{u} \equiv 1$ .
- We choose s = 0.8 and  $\omega = (-0.3, 0.8) \subset (-1, 1)$  as the control region.
- The approximation of the minimal controllability time is obtained by solving the following constrained minimization problem:

minimize T  

$$\begin{cases}
T > 0 \\
z_t + (-d_x^2)^s z = u_{\chi_\omega}, & a. e. in (-1, 1) \times (0, T) \\
z(\cdot, 0) = z_0 \ge 0, & a. e. in (-1, 1) \\
z \ge 0, & a. e. in (-1, 1) \times (0, T) \\
u \ge 0, & a. e. in \omega \times (0, T).
\end{cases}$$

To perform the simulations, we apply a FE method for the space discretization of the fractional Laplacian on a uniform space-grid

$$x_i = -1 + \frac{2i}{N_x}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N_x,$$

with  $N_x = 20$ . Moreover, we use an explicit Euler scheme for the time integration on the time-grid

$$t_j = \frac{Tj}{N_t}, \quad j = 0, \ldots, N_t,$$

with  $N_t$  satisfying the **Courant-Friedrich-Lewy** condition. In particular, we choose here  $N_t = 100$ .

# Numerical simulations

- We obtain the minimal time  $T_{min} \simeq 0,2101$ .
- In this time horizon, the fractional heat equation *FH* is controllable from the initial datum *z*<sub>0</sub> to the desired trajectory *2*(·, *T*) by maintaining the positivity of the solution.



# Numerical simulations

• The impulsional behavior of the control is lost when extending the time horizon beyond T<sub>min</sub>.



This control has been computed by solving the minimization problem:

$$\begin{split} \min \ \|z(\cdot,T) - \widehat{z}(\cdot,T)\|_{L^2(-1,1)} \\ \begin{cases} T > 0 \\ z_t + (-d_x^2)^s z = u\chi_{\omega}, & a. e. \text{ in } (-1,1) \times (0,T) \\ z(\cdot,0) = z_0 \ge 0, & a. e. \text{ in } (-1,1) \\ z \ge 0, & a. e. \text{ in } (-1,1) \times (0,T) \\ u \ge 0, & a. e. \text{ in } \omega \times (0,T). \end{cases} \end{split}$$

• Finally, when considering a time horizon *T* < *T*<sub>min</sub>, constrained controllability fails.

### **THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!**

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 694126-DYCON).





European Research Council Established by the European Commission



### **Deusto**Tech



